IN THE HIGH COURT OF UTTARANCHAL AT NAINITAL

Writ Petition (M/S) No. 1470 of 2006

1. Rajendra Prasad S/o Late Sri Maniram Bhatt,
   R/o Village & P.O. Peepal Mandi, Chinyali Saud,
   District- Uttarkashi.
2. Harish Chandra Bhatuda S/o Late Jhabar Singh Bhatuda,
   R/o Village-Chilmudgaun (Bhagsari)
   Post- Bhatwari (Dhanari)
   District- Uttarkashi.
3. Chandan Singh Rawat S/o Sri Mathbar Singh Rawat,
   R/o Village & Post-Seeri, Patti-Gajana, Tehsil Dunda,
   District-Uttarkashi.
4. Dharmendra Rana S/o Sri Bhag Singh Rana,
   R/o Village-Manjkot, Post-Thathi (Dhanari)
   District-Uttarkashi.
5. Shoorbeer Singh Pawar S/o Sri Fathe Singh Panwar,
   R/o Village-Gamdir Gaon, Post-Sald,
   District-Uttarkashi.

.....Petitioner.

Vs.

1. State of Uttaranchal through Secretary
   Education Civil Secretariat Dehradun.
2. Director of Education, Uttaranchal Dehradun.
3. District Basic Education Officer/Additional
   District Education Officer, Uttarkashi.
4. Principal District Institute of Education and Training
   Barkot, Uttarkashi. **.....Respondents.**

Sri N.S. Negi learned counsel for the petitioner.
Sri Nand Prasad learned counsel for the respondent Nos. 1 to 4.

Date: - 26 September 2006

Hon'ble B.S. Verma, J.

Heard Sri N.S. Negi learned counsel for the petitioners and Sri Nand Prasad leaned Standing counsel for the respondent Nos. 1 to 4.

By means of this writ petition the petitioners has sought mandamus of this Court to direct the respondents to consider the candidature of the petitioner for the appointment in Special B.T.C. Course for the Session 2006-2007 in pursuance of the advertisement dated 9.7.2006 (Annexure 5 to the writ petition).

In the course of argument, the learned counsel for the petitioners confined his prayer that representation of the petitioner and few others made to the District Basic Education Officer Uttarkashi made on 13-7-2006 (Annexure No.6 to the writ petition) has not yet been decided, therefore, the respondents may be directed to consider the representation in the light of the order of this Court dated 5-12-2003 passed in Writ Petition No.5576 (S/S) of 2001 and decide the same by a reasoned order. The learned counsel also submitted that the writ petition may be disposed of finally.

In view of the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioners, it will be in the fitness of things to direct the respondents to consider the representation and decide the same by a reasoned and speaking order.

Accordingly, the respondents including the District Basic Education Officer, Uttarkashi are directed to decide the representation dated 13-7-2006 move by the petitioners (Annexure-6 to the writ petition) within a period of four weeks by a reasoned and speaking order in the light of the order passed by this Court, referred to above.

With the above direction, the writ petition is disposed of finally.

(B.S. Verma, J.)

Dated: 26th September 2006
RCP